

Southern California Edison
***WSD-001 – Resolution WSD-001 to Establish Procedures for the Wildfire Safety Division's
Review of 2020 Wildfire Mitigation Plans Pursuant to PUC Sections 8386 and 8386.3***

DATA REQUEST SET M G R A - S C E - 0 0 5

To: MGRA
Prepared by: Gary Cheng
Job Title: Senior Advisor
Received Date: 3/18/2020

Response Date: 3/20/2020

Question 009:

Regarding SCE's modified Table 21 through Table 26 provided in response to WSD's data requests, the risk score for fire risk forecasting, grid topology, and PSPS (including Table 21, 4.2-4.4; Table 23, 8.1; Table 26, 5) all have an identical Risk score for 2020-22 of 8.3 and an RSE of 61.3:

- a) What does this risk score represent?
- b) How was this risk score calculated?
- c) If PSPS is being used as justification for these scores, have costs of customer harm and risk arising from PSPS been included in the risk or cost calculations?

Response to Question 009:

Please refer to the "comments" column (Column Q), for each of the activities listed in the question, where SCE explained that these values are repeated from the columns in Table 26, Initiative 5.

- (a) The risk score is an aggregate of the risk reduction over the 2020-2022 period for the PSPS mitigation in MARS (Multi-Attribute Risk Score) units. Please refer to the 2018 SCE RAMP Report for more details regarding MARS.
- (b) Please refer to SCE's Response to the WSD data request: WSD-SCE-002, Question 033 (SCE-43895-X-379).
- (c) SCE did not incorporate secondary impacts from PSPS, but incorporated costs from various mitigation activities (e.g., grid topology improvements, community resource centers, fuel sampling programs, etc.) which are to mitigate impacts of PSPS to our customers.